

#### <u>#10899 - OP013</u>



Importance of delay for management of STEMI: does the helicopter HEMS is better than ground transport with MICU ambulance? Analyze of the French region Centre Registry of Acute

### Coronary (CRAC)

E. REVUE<sup>1</sup> MD, C. SAINT ETIENNE<sup>2</sup> MD , P. MARCOLLET<sup>3</sup> MD, S. CHASSAING<sup>4</sup> MD,

#### P. DEQUENNE<sup>5</sup> MD, W.YAFI<sup>6</sup> MD, S. GAUTIER<sup>9</sup>, C LAURE<sup>4</sup>,

#### L.GODILLON<sup>7</sup> MD PhD , L. GRAMATICO-GUILLON<sup>8</sup> MD, G. RANGÉ<sup>9</sup> MD

<sup>1</sup>Emergency Department and prehospital EMS (SMUR) Louis Pasteur Hospital , Chartres, France
<sup>2</sup>Department of Cardiology, University Teaching Hospital, Tours, France
<sup>3</sup>Department of Cardiology, Jacques Coeur Hospital Bourges, France
<sup>4</sup>Department of Cardiology, Private Hospital St Gatien, Tours, France
<sup>5</sup>Department of Cardiology Private Hospital Oreliance, Orleans, France
<sup>6</sup>Deprtmant of Cardiology, Orleans la Source Regional Hospital , France
<sup>7</sup>Regional Unit of Epidemiology, University Teaching Hospital Tours, France
<sup>8</sup>Service of Medical Information, Epidemiology and Health Economy, University Teaching Hospital Tours, France



UREH

EUSEM








## **Conflicts of interest**




FRANCE



### Organization of prehospital EMS (SAMU) in Paris (France)



#### **STEMI** patients and initial reperfusion treatment



Total ischemic time: Within 120 min\*

\*Golden Hour = First 60 minutes

George A. Mensah et al. Circulation. 2007;116:e33-e38



Copyright © American Heart Association, Inc. All rights reserved.

### The 2 General Types of EMS Systems


"Anglo-American" system	"Franco-German" system
"Scoop and Run"	"Stay and Play"
Prehospital care by paramedics	Prehospital care by Emergency physicians
Patients delivered to hospital-based AED staffed by EP	Patients delivered directly to inpatient services (ACS, Stroke, MultiTrauma)
"Bring the patient to the doctor"	"Bring the doctor to the patient"
May just transfer problems to the nearest hospital ?	May take more time on-the-scene ? Cost +++



models in Europe : Franco-German (60 %) vs Anglo American (30 %)


# **Materials and Methods:**

- 3 years (01/14 to 12/16) Prospective multicentric study
- Patients with STEMI eligible for percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI)
- Simulated ground-based access times computed using a digital cartographic program
- Estimation of dispatch French System (SAMU) delay from call to admission to 5 of the cath lab of our region.
- Standard data collection from the French region Centre Registry of Acute Coronary (CRAC) :
  - (1) risk factor, history of prior MI or PCI
  - (2) elements of the acute clinical presentation
  - (3) key process times for reperfusion
  - (4) mortality and morbidities 30 days and 1 year follow-up




## **Materials and Methods:**

- Patients with STEMI eligible for primary percutaneous coronary intervention (PPCI)
- A comparative sub-group analyzed the mean response time (FMC-TDB) for EMS using HEMS vs MICU




## **Results STEMI patients**

Median time symptom onset-FMC : 1h32 mn Median time FMC-PPCI : 1h52 mn



## **Results STEMI patients**

82 % were transferred for primary percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI), 2% fibrinolytic therapy, 8% secondary angioplasty and 8% coronarography only.



FMC-PPCI time and fibrinolysis according to the distance between the place of pain and the nearest ICC

### **Results STEMI patients with PPCI and primary EMS**

Patient characteristics	HEMS n=125 (12.3%)	MICU n=893 (87.7%)	p value
Age median [range]	64 [37-89]	62 [30-95]	
Men	102 (81.6)	692 (77.5)	0.30
BMI ≥25	75 (60.0)	581 (65.2)	0.25
Diabetes	16 (13.2)	112 (12.7)	0.85
Hypercholesterolemia	39 (32.8)	335 (38.2)	0.26
Hypertension	44 (36.4)	344 (39.0)	0.58
Current smoker	37 (30.1)	359 (40.6)	<10 <sup>-3</sup>
Family history of coronary artery disease	29 (24.4)	189 (21.8)	0.52
Prior myocardial infarction	14 (11.4)	68 (7.6)	0.15
Prior PCI	20 (16.0)	104 (11.6)	0.16
Prior coronary artery bypass surgery	3 (2.4)	13 (1.5)	0.43
History of coronary artery disease*	23 (18.4)	121 (13.5)	0.15
History of PAD	6 (4.8)	30 (3.4)	0.41
History of stroke	2 (1.6)	23 (2.6)	0.51
History of Chronic kidney disease	2 (1.6)	13 (1.5)	0.89
<25 km	3 (2.4)	438 (49.6)	<10 <sup>-4</sup>
Distance pain's onset - [25-50[ km	37 (29.8)	294 (33.3)	
ICC [50-75[ km	67 (54.0)	128 (14.5)	
≥75 km	17 (13.7)	23 (2.6)	

### 1,018 STEMI patients with PPCI and primary EMS were included.

T Complination of the 3 previous variables

PAD: peripheral arterial disease

ICC : interventional cardiology center

### **Results STEMI patients with PPCI and primary EMS**

	HEMS		MICU					
Distance pai	n's onset - ICC	N	Median time FMC-PPCI	Mean time FMC-PPCI	N	Median time FMC-PPCI	Mean time FMC-PPCI	p value *
Global		124	1h: 53 mn	1h: 58 mn	891	1h: 35 mn	1h: 42 mn	<10 <sup>-4</sup>
	<25 km	3			438	1h: 20 mn	1h: 27 mn	
	[25-50[ km	36	1h: 45 mn	1h: 50 mn	293	1h: 43 mn	1h: 51 mn	0.90
	[50-75[ km	67	1h: 56 mn	2h: 03 mn	127	1h: 59 mn	2h: 06 mn	0.58
	≥75 km	17	1h: 54 mn	2h: 08 mn	23	2h: 06 mn	2h: 15 mn	0.45
	missing data	1			10			

\* Student's t-test if n>30 else Wilcoxon signed-rank test

FMC: first medical contact

PPCI: primary percutaneous coronary intervention

ICC : interventional cardiology center

#### Factors associated with the HEMS


		HEMS (n=125 12.3%)	p value
Distance pain's onset - ICC	<25 km	3 (0.7)	<10 <sup>-4</sup>
	[25-50[ km	37 (11.2)	
	[50-75[ km	67 (34.4)	
	≥75 km	17 (42.5)	
	18 - Cher	1 (0.5)	<10 <sup>-4</sup>
	28 - Eure-et-Loir	20 (10.2)	
	36 - Indre	32 (45.7)	
Department of pain's onset	37 - Indre-et-Loire	14 (4.6)	
	41 - Loir-et-Cher	45 (32.1)	
	45 - Loiret	2 (2.8)	
	Outside CVL region	11 (40.7)	
ICC	Clinique Oréliance	14 (12.8)	0.01
	CH Chartres	31 (14.2)	
	CH Bourges	34 (12.5)	
	Clinique Saint Gatien	2 (1.9)	
	CHRU de Tours	44 (14.2)	
Time of FMC during	Yes	76 (15.4)	<10 <sup>-2</sup>
standart working hours*	No	49 (9.4)	

\*8 AM to 8 PM except week end days

ICC : interventional cardiology center

Age, sex, ischemia localisation, EMS call, FMC, time symptom onset-FMC were not significant

## Mean and median FMC-PPCI time (except times of more than 12 h) according to the transport and the distance between the place of pain and the nearest ICC





# Discussion



- 1. In many European countries, the EMS structure is made up of ambulances and HEMS staffed by emergency Physicians.
- 2. For patients with STEMI transferred for PCI have called dispatch center within 90 minutes of initial presentation.
- 3. The network organization using doctors in the ambulances (or HEMS) increase the delay to reach the patient.
- 4. On the scene, the EMS staff communicates directly with the PCI cardiologist to reduce the delay for a primary PCI in less than 120 mn after the FMC.
- 5. In a well-developed STEMI system, D2D times within 90 to 120 minutes appear most feasible for hospitals within 30-minute transfer drive time.
- 6. Helicopter transport did not offer D2D time advantages for transferred STEMI patients. Our results offer important insights into the impact of transfer time and mode of transport on achievement of guideline goals for D2D time in the treatment of STEMI patients.
- 7. Several potential explanations exist for our findings,:
  - time associated with preparation and deployment of air transportation

In Nation & Charlos

- the potential selection of air transport cases with more complexity
- distance from the patient to the PCI.









# Conclusions



- 1. In our CRAC registry, helicopter transport was associated with
  - longer DTB times
  - better overall D2D times for STEMI patients with symptom occurring over 75 km distance to the hospital
- 2. Our findings suggest the need for continued systems improvement to reduce first medical contact to DTB times
- 3. Need further studies in decision making in transport choice
  - to reduce transport times
  - reexamination of how to make the best air versus ground transport decision







