Joshua J. Brown (0089836) Ohio Attorney at Law 5086 N. High Street Columbus, OH 43214-1526 Office Phone: (614) 974-2022

> Cell: (614) 284-4394 Fax: (614) 388-3947 josh@joshbrownesq.com

August 15, 2022

BY U.S. MAIL AND EMAIL DELIVERY

Dave Stewart, Superintendent
Hilliard City Schools
2140 Atlas Street
Columbus, OH 43228
david_stewart@hboe.org

RE: Request for District Position on Parental Notification of

Gender Dysphoria Symptoms Manifested at School

Dear Mr. Stewart:

I am writing on behalf of over thirty (30) parents (the "Parents") who have children in Hilliard City Schools (the "District"). Some of the parents met with you on or about July 19, 2022.

In that conversation you were asked whether a teacher is at liberty to disclose to parents, that their child seeks to identify as a different name than the one they are registered with or identify as a different gender than their biological gender. You said the law is unclear and that, pursuant to Title IX, a teacher would be putting his/herself at great personal risk if teacher were to "out a kid" to their parents without the child's permission, when the teacher is not a counselor.

This ambiguity gives rise to great concern, both from a standpoint of health and law. The DSM-5-TR still defines these items as symptoms of gender dysphoria. Appropriate treatment for gender dysphoria is currently a subject of sharp disagreement. Parents cannot support, and may inadvertently undermine treatment if they are unaware of the dysphoria and/or the treatment the school is providing.

Erica Anderson, a clinical psychologist who is former President of the U.S. Professional Association for Transgender Health, said leaving parents in the dark is not the answer. "If there are issues between parents and children, they need to be addressed

... It's like kicking a can down the road. It only postpones, in my opinion, and aggravates any conflict that may exist."

You said there were different perspectives and that this is not a clear area of law. The Parents sympathize, as the lack of clarity likely arises from the opinion of the U.S. Department of Education. In fact, that is why a Federal Judge issued an injunction against actions based on the Department's interpretation. See: *The State of Tennessee, et al. v. United States Department of Education, et al.*, Case No. 3:21-cv-00308, docket #86, Memorandum Opinion and Order granting Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Injunction.

The Department's opinion on Title IX is not law and will certainly undergo legal challenges. However, one area of law is crystal clear: parents have a right to direct their children's education. The U.S. Supreme Court said:

[I]n Meyer v. Nebraska, 262 U.S. 390, 399, 401 (1923), we held that the "liberty" protected by the Due Process Clause includes the right of parents to "establish a home and bring up children" and "to control the education of their own." Two years later, in Pierce v. Society of Sisters, 268 U.S. 510, 534-535 (1925), we again held that the "liberty of parents and guardians" includes the right "to direct the upbringing and education of children under their control." We explained in *Pierce* that "[t]he child is not the mere creature of the State; those who nurture him and direct his destiny have the right, coupled with the high duty, to recognize and prepare him for additional obligations." *Id.*, at 535. We returned to the subject in *Prince v*. Massachusetts, 321 U.S. 158 (1944), and again confirmed that there is a constitutional dimension to the right of parents to direct the upbringing of their children. "It is cardinal with us that the custody, care and nurture of the child reside first in the parents, whose primary function and freedom include preparation for obligations the state can neither supply nor hinder." *Id.*, at 166.

Troxel v. Granville, 530 U.S. 57, 65 (2000). The Ohio Supreme Court adopted the same doctrine, pursuant to the Ohio Constitution. See e.g., *State v. Whisner*, 47 Ohio St. 2d 181, 215-128 (Ohio 1976).

The Parents are naturally concerned, given that this is a prominent national issue. The practice of hiding symptoms of gender dysphoria from parents led to currently ongoing lawsuits in Massachusetts, Florida, Wisconsin, Kansas, Virginia, and Maryland. Several states adopted policies that would seem to allow school officials to hide gender

dysphoria symptoms from parents. For example, in Maryland, a lawsuit is currently pending over the Maryland State Department of Education guidelines which specifically advise school officials to hide symptoms of gender dysphoria from parents. In California, a person named Jessica Konen sued a school for allegedly manipulating and encouraging her daughter to "transition." At Charles F. Patton Middle School in Pennsylvania, emails were made public of staff members specifically stating that they will use different pronouns when talking to parents about their child. There are rumors these types of activities are currently occurring at Hilliard City Schools—and no doubt they could occur.

Thus, the Parents feel they have a right to a clear, unambiguous answer, as to whether the school will require school officials to notify parents when their child manifests symptoms of gender dysphoria (or symptoms of anything else) at school, and what specific exceptions may apply.

You may reply to my contact information in the header. We will wait thirty (30) days for an answer, which will be until September 15, 2022. At that time, if we have no answer, then we will seek to require an answer from the District in federal court.

Thank you,

Joshua J. Brown (0089836) Ohio Attorney at Law

jib.

CC:

Julie C. Martin, Legal Counsel Hilliard City Schools Scott Scriven LLP 250 E. Broad St., Suite 900 Columbus, OH 43215 Phone: (614) 222-8686

Phone: (614) 222-8686 Fax: (614) 222-8688

julie@scottscrivenlaw.com