Prelude to Putin’s Genocide in Ukraine
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The cultural legacy of Ukraine spans centuries. To stifle national identity and absorb Ukrainian culture, the Russian Empire staged several types of Russification efforts in Ukraine throughout the 19th century.\(^1\) Despite these initiatives, the perseverance of the Ukrainian people led to the emergence of intellectual and cultural forces that sought to preserve and revitalize the nation's language and traditions. Ukraine experienced chaotic changes in the 20th century, primarily influenced by the Soviet Union and the profound shocks of the Russian Revolution. Ukraine attained independence in 1991, a
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critical turning point in establishing its statehood. These pivotal moments, from Soviet domination to the quest for independence, have permanently molded Ukraine into the dynamic country it is today.

After Ukraine gained independence from the Soviet Union, a complex interaction of historical, political, and cultural forces gave rise to the war in eastern Ukraine. Deep-rooted differences existed inside the country between the mostly Russian and Ukrainian speaking eastern and western regions, resulting in a fractured national identity and divergent outlooks for the nation's future.

A glaring difference in expectations for Ukraine's geopolitical direction was at the heart of the war. Although the Kyiv administration was more interested in promoting deeper connections with the European Union, some people in eastern Ukraine preferred closer ties with Russia. Further political division in Ukraine emerged in the early 2010s, with pro-European elements facing off against those allied with Russia. The Maidan, Revolution of Dignity, was a series of protest actions against the Yanukovych government, sparked by his decision to forgo further integration with the European Union
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in favor of fortifying ties with Russia.\textsuperscript{5} Demands for democratic changes, transparency, and a shift toward more robust ties with Western countries increased as the movement gained momentum. Moreover, tensions rose as a result of the Ukrainian government's crackdown on protestors, which heightened the commotion. The Maidan movement culminated in President Viktor Yanukovych's dramatic fall in 2014.\textsuperscript{6}

An essential and divisive development in world geopolitics occurred in the months following the Maidan when Russia annexed Crimea, setting off a chain of events that is still felt throughout Eastern Europe. Widespread criticism followed Russia's effort to take control of Crimea, an area that had historically been a part of Ukraine. It was viewed as a blatant breach of Ukraine's sovereignty and territorial integrity.\textsuperscript{7}

The takeover occurred during the discreet and well-planned deployment of Russian military personnel across strategically significant areas of Crimea. There was no internationally recognized military intervention protocol in place at the time of this deployment, which raised questions regarding the legitimacy and legality of the takeover. In the middle of this military presence, Crimea held a hurriedly planned referendum in which the majority of voters allegedly supported joining Russia. However, there was much controversy regarding the referendum's conditions; the vote was held in a military occupation zone and without the participation of unbiased international observers. The annexation was denounced by the international community as a breach of Ukraine's sovereignty and a violation of international law by the US and the EU, among others. They said that the annexation lacked legal validity and went against accepted international conventions and that Russia's actions ignored basic principles of territorial integrity and self-determination.\textsuperscript{8}

From Russia's perspective, a variety of causes allegedly motivated the annexation. The belief that the interests of ethnic Russians and Russian speakers in Crimea ought to be protected came first among these. Russia said that the safety and cultural identity of the Russian-speaking people in


Ukraine were directly threatened by the political unrest and instability that had occurred there, especially after President Viktor Yanukovych was overthrown. Russia's rationale for the annexation included essential elements of historical and geopolitical logic. Having been a part of the Russian Empire until 1954, when it was moved to Ukraine during the Soviet era, Crimea has strong historical links to Russia.

Russia's diplomatic relations with the West were severely strained as a result of the annexation, and they were placed on hold. The Russian economy suffered significant harm due to the economic sanctions and diplomatic isolation, which led to recessions and currency depreciation. The annexation had severe economic consequences, including limited access to international financial markets, trade restrictions, and decreased foreign investments. In addition, the conflict in eastern Ukraine was sparked by the annexation of Crimea, which increased regional tensions, particularly between Russia and Ukraine.

However, the annexation has had far-reaching consequences as it sparked widespread fear about the precedent set by Russia's conduct and highlighted severe questions about international law and the integrity of sovereign states. The annexation of Crimea raised disturbing concerns about what might happen to other disputed areas throughout the globe and the possibility that other countries would follow suit, undermining long-standing international relations and sovereignty standards.

Pro-Russian sentiment in eastern Ukraine increased after Russia took Crimea in 2014, which escalated tensions to a breaking point. Protests broke out in the provinces of Donetsk and Luhansk, with factions calling for independence or affiliation with Russia. These extreme manifestations of separatism led to bloody skirmishes between pro-Russian separatist organizations and Ukrainian government troops, engulfing the area in a deadly and lengthy war. Efforts to create stability in the region since 2014 have been hampered by ongoing clashes despite several attempts at ceasefire.
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agreements and peace negotiations. With little success in reaching a long-term settlement, the violence has continued despite attempts to mediate peace through ceasefires and talks. Amidst the geopolitical upheaval, the fighting has severely affected the people of Ukraine. Millions of people have lost their homes and are struggling to access basic amenities. Thousands of people have tragically died as a result of the violence, deeply scarring Ukraine's social fabric and posing long-term problems to the stability and rebuilding of the nation.

**Russian Actions in Ukraine**

An essential and divisive development in world geopolitics occurred in 2014 when Russia annexed Crimea, setting off a chain of events that is still felt throughout Eastern Europe. Widespread worldwide criticism followed Russia's effort to take control of Crimea, an area that had historically
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**Annexation of Crimea**

An essential and divisive development in world geopolitics occurred in 2014 when Russia annexed Crimea, setting off a chain of events that is still felt throughout Eastern Europe. Widespread worldwide criticism followed Russia's effort to take control of Crimea, an area that had historically
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been a part of Ukraine. It was viewed as a blatant breach of Ukraine's sovereignty and territorial integrity.\textsuperscript{14}

The takeover occurred during the discreet and well-planned deployment of Russian military personnel across strategically significant areas of Crimea. There was no internationally recognized military intervention protocol in place at the time of this deployment, which raised questions regarding the legitimacy and legality of the acts done. In the middle of this military presence, Crimea held a hurriedly planned referendum in which the majority of voters allegedly supported joining Russia. However, there was much controversy regarding the referendum's conditions; the vote was held in a military occupation zone and without the participation of unbiased international observers, many people across the world questioned the vote's legality.\textsuperscript{15}

\textbf{Political Motivation}

From Russia's perspective, a variety of causes allegedly motivated the annexation. The belief that the interests of ethnic Russians and Russian speakers in Crimea ought to be protected came first among these. Russia said that the safety and cultural identity of the Russian-speaking people in Ukraine were directly threatened by the political unrest and instability that had occurred there, especially after President Viktor Yanukovych was overthrown.\textsuperscript{16} Russia's rationale for the annexation included essential elements of historical and geopolitical logic. Having been a part of the Russian Empire until 1954, when it was moved to Ukraine during the Soviet era, Crimea has strong historical links to Russia.\textsuperscript{17}

On the other hand, the annexation was denounced by the international community as a breach of Ukraine's sovereignty and a violation of international law by the US and the EU, among others. They said that the annexation lacked legal validity and went against accepted international conventions and that Russia's actions ignored basic principles of territorial integrity and self-determination.


International Response

Russia's diplomatic relations with the West were severely strained as a result of the annexation, and they were placed on hold. The Russian economy suffered significant harm due to the economic sanctions and diplomatic isolation, which led to recessions and currency depreciation. The annexation had severe economic consequences, including limited access to international financial markets, trade restrictions, and decreased foreign investments. In addition, the conflict in eastern Ukraine was sparked by the annexation of Crimea, which increased regional tensions, particularly between Russia and Ukraine.¹⁸

However, the annexation has had far-reaching consequences as it sparked widespread fear about the precedent set by Russia's conduct and highlighted severe questions about international law and the integrity of sovereign states.¹⁹ The annexation of Crimea raised disturbing concerns about what
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might happen to other disputed areas throughout the globe and the possibility that other countries would follow suit, undermining long-standing international relations and sovereignty standards.

**The Causes of Conflict in Eastern Ukraine**

After Ukraine gained independence from the Soviet Union, a complex interaction of historical, political, and cultural forces gave rise to the war in eastern Ukraine. Deep-rooted differences existed inside the country between the mostly Russian and Ukrainian speaking eastern and western regions, resulting in a fractured national identity and divergent outlooks for the nation's future.\(^{20}\)

A glaring difference in expectations for Ukraine's geopolitical direction was at the heart of the war. Although the Kyiv administration was more interested in promoting deeper connections with the European Union, some people in eastern Ukraine preferred closer ties with Russia.\(^{21}\) There have been increasing protests and simmering tensions in Ukraine as a result of this ideological split over the country's future.

Pro-Russian sentiment in eastern Ukraine increased after Russia took Crimea in 2014, which escalated tensions to a breaking point. Protests broke out in the provinces of Donetsk and Luhansk, with factions calling for independence or affiliation with Russia. These extreme manifestations of separatism led to bloody skirmishes between pro-Russian separatist organizations and Ukrainian government troops, engulfing the area in a deadly and lengthy war.

With little success in reaching a long-term settlement, the violence has continued despite attempts to mediate peace through ceasefires and talks. The repercussions have been disastrous, resulting in an incredible death toll, mass human displacement, and massive damage to vital infrastructure.

**Military Involvement**

The eastern Ukrainian war is a complicated battleground where several entities are waging both direct and indirect military actions, which exacerbate tensions and feed the cycle of violence and instability. Fighting separatist organizations that have unilaterally proclaimed independence in the Donetsk and
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Luhansk areas has become a major priority for the Ukrainian government. The Ukrainian military vigorously fights these separatist groups with assistance from partners throughout the world. Allies support Ukraine in suppressing the separatist rebellion by offering military instruction, equipment, and intelligence assistance.

On the other hand, there are claims that Russia provides significant military support to the separatist forces in eastern Ukraine, including arms, food, and even the deployment of Russian troops. Russia fiercely disputes its engagement in the secessionist groups, calling them "volunteers" or "militias" despite growing evidence that points to direct support. As a result, the global community has viewed these denials with suspicion.

Further complicating the battlefield is the entrance of proxy forces into the fighting in eastern Ukraine. According to reports, fighters from several nations, including areas like Chechnya, are actively fighting on both sides. These other parties provide another level of complexity, escalating the dynamics of the dispute and maintaining the situation's instability. The delicate nature of the situation in eastern Ukraine emphasizes the continuous attempts to find a peaceful settlement through diplomatic channels and international organizations. Despite diplomatic efforts, the conflict's diverse character and entrenched animosity make it challenging to find a durable settlement.
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Assessment of Genocide

It is integral for this discussion to first establish what genocide truly is. What are its classifications? And how can we trace these points to the acts of the Russian military? The act of genocide is carried out in a procedural manner, oftentimes rooted in misinformation. These intentions can be hidden behind propaganda. Labels like “de-sanitization” have been used on the state-level to justify the brutalities against Ukrainian peoples. When acts of genocide are concealed behind carefully crafted public statements, it creates a defense for the oppressor. Similarly, there has been the weaponization of terms previously used in the context of genocide against Ukraine. The term “Nazi” has been repurposed by Russian media, now describing a Ukrainian who denies any ties to Russia or having any “affinity for Ukrainian culture or the European Union.” The recycling of vocabulary that holds immense weight is a manipulation tactic, and when levied against a group on the international


level, can cause great damage. It was in September of 2022 that the Genocide Watch took note of multiple stages of genocide in Ukraine, dehumanization being most prevalent here.

Genocide in Ukraine

Huge human suffering and loss were a part of the terrible history of the Ukrainian genocide. A critically significant event in history, the genocide in Ukraine was characterized by severe brutality, mass murder, and unimaginable misery for humankind. It is crucial to bring light on this troubling time because doing so will enable us to better appreciate the complexity of the present and strive toward a more peaceful future. International law defines "genocide" as the intentional and systematic elimination of a national, ethnic, racial, or religious group, either whole or in part in a purposeful manner which is illegal at times of war and peace.

Massacre in Bucha

One of the most alarming pieces of evidence to emerge from this conflict was the massacre in Bucha which occurred in March of 2022. It was not long after the footage was released that Ukrainian President Volodymyr Zelenskyy, along with United States President Joseph Biden, determined that this was an act of genocide. Now, it has been previously established by the International Criminal Tribunal for what previously was Yugoslavia, that context is imperative in determining genocidal intent. Discussion of the overall context surrounding these atrocities, beginning with the Bucha massacre is especially important. What first needs to be understood, is that these attacks were carried out by one of the most elite military groups the Russian military has to offer. The perpetrators were identified as the 234th Regiment, which is an elite airborne unit. This highly equipped class of paratroopers was more than capable of completing their mission: clearing the way to the capital. With that plan in mind, the Russian soldiers “interrogated and executed unarmed men of fighting age, and killed people who unwittingly crossed their paths.” It was evident from the released footage that the


victims were outnumbered and outranked. Because of the targeted and procedural order of these crimes, there is potential for these soldiers to be prosecuted by the International Criminal Court. Evidence shows that most of the victims were either Ukrainian civilians or prisoners of war, opening the possibility for a case of war crimes.  

Additional Acts of Genocide

However, this was not an isolated incident displaying calculated genocidal intent. We can draw further conclusions from evidence of starvation and the forcible transfer of children. During the early stages of the conflict, Ukrainian aid was obstructed, and food was inaccessible to the public. The elimination of these channels to those residing in Ukrainian cities equates to a tactic of starvation. In March of 2023, the International Criminal Court issued a warrant for Vladimir Putin’s arrest on account of the hundreds of thousands of Ukrainian children who were forcibly transferred to Russia and integrated into Russian homes. Citing from the Russian Ministry of Defense, there have been over 307,000 children transferred to Russia ranging from February to June of 2022. During these transfers, many families were separated from each other as well as the confiscation of all cell phones.

Actions Brought to Court

In March of 2022, the ICC held a case in a Hague based court on the basis of the 1948 Genocide Convention to hear the Russian-Ukrainian matters. Combatting the accusations of a genocide against Russia, Ukrainian representatives presented numerical data which shows a drastic decline in civilian casualties since 2014. These statistics indicate absolutely no evidence of the targeting of Russian civilians. Furthermore, United Nations human rights monitors have been carefully tracking the conflict in Luhansk and Donetsk and have found no trace of genocidal intent. With

---

acknowledgment to the jurisdiction of the ICC, the office of the prosecutor has recorded crimes, but in their seven years of investigations have yet to find any evidence of genocide on behalf of Ukraine.  
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