

LAW ENFORCEMENT & SB 1464 – URGENT – Deadline to Defeat is IMMINENT!

The passage of SB 1464 would place all California state & local health department "officials" in a <u>dictatorial</u> position <u>over</u> all law enforcement in CA - under threat of <u>defunding</u> for non-compliance with the unregulated whims of these "health officials", allowing <u>them</u> to reallocate law enforcement funds directly <u>to their own health departments!</u> And this would happen <u>without</u> a court order. This reallocation of State funding would <u>also</u> happen should any officers so much as dare to question, or <u>verbalize</u> any form of disagreement with, <u>any</u> of the health department's future (as-yet <u>unknown</u>) "orders" which may capriciously "issue" at any time <u>without oversight or due process.</u>

SB 1464 changes the word "may" to "shall."

And this one word-change would transform our officers into an armed enforcement branch <u>of the pharmaceutical industry</u>. SEE footnote. ¹ Under SB 1464, no matter how unlawful an "order" from any "health official", law enforcement would be <u>required</u> to obey it, or else face the immediate loss of funding for their agency. Law enforcement would then be forced to file a lawsuit suit to challenge the "lawfulness" of the health official's prior "orders" <u>- if they want to get their funding back</u>. And this could take <u>years</u>. Under SB 1464 "due process" is only available after-the-fact. SEE footnote. ²

It is imperative to understand that the majority of CA state legislators are heavily financed by the pharmaceutical industry. So we already know that most of these as-yet-unknown "public health" directives, policies, and "orders" are presently aimed at requiring all persons within this State to be injected with an unlimited number of dangerous pharmaceutical products, under threat of incarceration for declining. This under threat of incarceration for declining. This under threat of incarceration for declining. And this is why-they're attempting to pass SB 1464 so quietly and swiftly. There is now little distinction between pharmaceutical companies and those who run our "health" agencies. Our legislators are attempting give big pharma defacto control of all CA law enforcement agencies in California.

LEGAL POSITION OF LAW ENFORCEMENT IN THE LEGISLATURE'S WAR AGAINST POLICE:

Our State Legislators are <u>currently</u> violating criminal laws.

These legislators are currently practicing unlicensed medicine upon the general public <u>in criminal</u> <u>violation of CA BP Code §2052</u>, via their legislative 'prescriptions' for unavoidably-unsafe drug injections. Violations of BP §2052 can be, and frequently are, charged as a felonies. And there are <u>no exceptions</u> to its application. These legislators are <u>not immune</u> from criminal prosecution for violations thereof. Nor are they immune from prosecution for a conspiracy to deprive citizens of their rights <u>Under Color of Law</u> in order to coerce their <u>criminally prescribed</u> drug injections.

¹ Existing law states that the sheriff of each county, (or city and county) "<u>may</u>" enforce all orders of the local health officer issued for the purpose of preventing the spread of any contagious, infectious, or communicable disease, thereby leaving the <u>discretion</u> of enforcement within the purview <u>of law enforcement</u>.

² SB 1464 requires compliance with <u>any</u> arbitrary "public health orders issued" at the command of <u>any</u> "public health official". And this includes the enforcement of vaccine mandates and vaccine "passports" which, once "issued" <u>will</u> be <u>revoked</u> on the whim of any "public health official" who's decided it's time for yet another "booster" injection, i.e., when that official wants to pump up the value of his pharma holdings at the taxpayer's expense, and/or confiscate police funding and reallocate it to their own health department.

CA BP Code §2052 is a *public safety law*, intended to protect the public from *health injuries that are expected to result from* the unlicensed practice of medicine, which practice *includes* the prescription of unavoidably-unsafe drug injections, i.e., vaccines. ³ Stark criminal violations are no less a crime merely because the State legislature writes out their unlicensed drug prescriptions for *millions* of citizens. Such criminal violations *recklessly endanger public health*. This is *why* it's a serious criminal act to violate BP §2052. And criminal activities, particularly those which endanger (and actually do injure) public health and safety, *do* pierce through *otherwise applicable* immunity protections enjoyed by legislators. It's only an "absolute" immunity *if* the challenged conduct is *not* overt *criminal* activity.

It makes no difference that these criminal code violations against public safety are occurring within our State Capitol, or that the perpetrators are "lawmakers". It makes no difference that the public has thus far tolerated these criminal violations. Nor does it matter that these criminal acts are fraudulently clothed as "legislative acts" within their criminal conspiracy to deprive us of our enumerated rights (under color of law) should we decline to be injected with the legislature's <u>criminally prescribed drug injections</u>. None of these transparent facades make these criminal violations any <u>less</u> criminal. The legislative branch enjoys no more immunity for these particular criminal violations than if they "voted" to murder an innocent citizen "during a legislative session".

<u>GREED:</u> All of these health department "officials" can be expected couch their unlawful demands upon law enforcement as "public health" orders. Greedy for money, these "officials" will <u>inevitably</u> make demands for the express purpose of <u>guaranteeing</u> officers cannot obey their commands <u>without</u> unlawfully violating citizens' rights. This would make it possible for health officials to swiftly (without due process) confiscate police funding via <u>any</u> "orders" they might choose to fashion.

Our law enforcement exists to *protect* the public from <u>criminals</u> who violate public safety codes - not to enforce the dictates of the pharmaceutical industry <u>in violation of</u> our criminal laws. The only reasonable answer to SB 1464 is: "I refuse to collude with the CA legislature, or any CA health department, in any conspiracy to <u>recklessly endanger public safety</u> via criminal violations of CA BP Code § 2052, nor will I conspire to deprive citizens of their Constitutional rights under Color of Law in any attempt to coerce or force medicate/inject the people of this State."

It is most urgent that law enforcement exercise their 1st Amendment rights <u>at this time</u>, by signing on to the Formal Legislative NOTICE to CEASE & DESIST produced by the Control Group. Although the CA State legislature now seeks to abolish the 1st Amendment <u>entirely</u>, they have yet to achieve this outcome. Voicing opposition to <u>criminal</u> activity is <u>still</u> a protected activity under the 1st Amendment.

Godspeed,

Joy Garner, founder of The Control Group – *info.cg@thecontrolgroup.org* Study Site: thecontrolgroup.org 530-210-1001 – Do call if you've got questions about the issues presented.

³ SEE: **Restatement (Second) of Torts § 402A Comment K.** <u>All</u> vaccines are formally classified as "unavoidably unsafe" under our system of laws. The unlicensed practice of medicine <u>obviously</u> includes the unlicensed act of prescribing unavoidably UNSAFE injectable drugs.